UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,982	10/786,982 02/24/2004 Johan van de Groenen		063170.7185	4521
5073 BAKER BOTT	7590 10/15/200 S L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
2001 ROSS AV SUITE 600	ENUE	CHO, UN C		
DALLAS, TX 7	75201-2980	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptomail1@bakerbotts.com glenda.orrantia@bakerbotts.com

Office Action Summary		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/786	10/786,982 GRG		ROENENDAAL ET AL.	
		Exami	ner	Art Unit		
		Un Cho)	2617		
T Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commur eply	ication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHOR' WHICHE - Extension after SIX or - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F VER IS LONGER, FROM THE N s of time may be available under the provisions (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come od for reply is specified above, the maximum reply received by the Office later than three months tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nunication. Eatutory period will apply an or will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNI o event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MON application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
2a)⊠ Th 3)⊡ Sir	sponsive to communication(s) file is action is FINAL . Ince this application is in condition sed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊡ This action i for allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal mat	•	ne merits is	
Disposition	of Claims					
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)□ Cla 7)□ Cla 8)□ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-7,9-15,19-24 and 28-45</u> Of the above claim(s) is/a aim(s) is/a aim(s) is/a aim(s) <u>1-7,9-15,19-24 and 28-45</u> aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction.	ire withdrawn from	consideration.			
Application	Papers					
10)☐ The Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are plicant may not request that any objected the placement drawing sheet(s) including sheet(s) including sheet(s) including sheet(s)	: a) ☐ accepted or ection to the drawing(g the correction is rec	s) be held in abeyar uired if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 		

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 3, 5 7, 9, 12 15, 34, 35 and 38 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerth et al. (US 6,370,373 B1) in view of Gray et al. (US 7,295,524 B1), Jonsson et al. (US 6,690,939 B1) and Barber et al. (US 7,382,756 B2).

Regarding claim 1, Gerth discloses a plurality of device agents, each device agent being assigned to collect association information from a corresponding set of access points in the wireless network (plurality of MSC connected to BS (not shown), Fig. 2, 120A – 120 G); and a device manager (PSMS; Fig. 2, 202) operable to receive the collected association information from the device agents, the device manager having a conflict resolution engine (CDS; Fig. 2, 210) for resolving conflicting access point associations (Gerth: Col. 4, lines 47 – 63); the association information from an access point comprising information identifying mobile units which are associated with the access point (Gerth: Col. 3, line 62 through Col. 4, line 35; receives REGNOT records from plurality of MSC whereas REGNOT includes the mobile user's MIN, time stamp and MSC identification).

Art Unit: 2617

However, Gerth does not specifically disclose collecting the association information from the corresponding set of access points by querying the access points in the corresponding set of access points, the association information from an access point comprising information identifying one or more mobile units which are associated with the access point. In an analogous art, Gray remedies the deficiencies of Gerth by disclosing such limitation wherein a wireless access point receives a request from airspace management platform (Fig. 1, element 56) to scan the wireless airspace for roque access points and wireless clients (Gray: Col. 8, lines 40 – 46 and Col. 9, lines 5 – 31) and report back to the airspace management platform the results for analysis (Gray: Col. 9, lines 58 – 67 and Col. 10, lines 24 – 57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Gray to the system of Gerth in order to provide an effective apparatuses and systems facilitating the management of wireless computer network environment and the detection of rogue and other devices that may affect the performance and/or security of the wireless computer network.

However, the combination of Gerth and Gray does not specifically disclose a device manager operable to receive the collected association information from the device agents, the device manager having a conflict resolution engine for resolving conflicting access point associations, the conflicting access point associations being two or more associations of one and only one of the one or more mobile units with respective two or more access points. In an analogous

Art Unit: 2617

art, Jonsson remedies the deficiencies of the combination of Gerth and Gray by disclosing such limitation in Col. 5, lines 13 – 51 wherein one and only one radio user equipment communicates with cells 1 and 2 and the base station controller knowing the overload condition of cell 1 instructs cell 1 to avoid downlink transmission, thus controlling the overloading condition. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Jonsson to the modified system of Gerth and Gray in order to increase the overall communication capacity of a radio communications system.

The combination of Gerth, Gray and Jonsson as applied above does not specifically disclose that the conflict resolution engine resolving the conflicting access point associations by identifying a single one of the two or more access points as supporting the one and only one of the one or more mobile units and identifying any others of the two or more access points as being disassociated with the one and only one of the one or more mobile units. In an analogous art, Barber remedies the deficiencies of the combination of Gerth, Gray and Jonsson by disclosing a central communication center receives a collection of radio stats from a plurality of access points wherein the radio stats includes an active clients table for holding a list of clients that are associated with an access point or are in the process of being associated or disassociated with the access point (see Figs. 1 and 4B; Barber: Col. 9, lines 15 – 58 and Col. 10, lines 4 – 19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2617

invention was made to provide the technique of Barber to the modified system of Gerth, Gray and Jonsson in order to provide command and control center (CCC) for efficiently controlling access to the wireless network, managing radio mapping and otherwise monitoring, controlling, evaluating, reconfiguring, the wireless network for optimal performance, security and user satisfaction.

Page 5

Regarding claim 2, Gerth as applied above discloses wherein the association information from the access point also comprises address information of the mobile units (mobile units MIN and ESN) which are associated with the access point; and the conflict resolution engine uses the address information to resolve conflicting access point associations to a mobile unit (Gerth: Col. 5, lines 45 – 67).

Regarding claim 3, Gerth as applied above discloses wherein the association information from the access point comprises time stamps associated with the association information; and the conflict resolution engine uses the time stamps to resolve conflicting access point associations to a mobile unit (Gerth: Col. 5, lines 45 - 67).

Regarding claim 5, Gerth as applied above discloses wherein the conflict resolution engine requests appropriate ones of the device agents to query access points corresponding to the conflicting associations (Gerth: Col. 5, lines 14 – 29; requesting a query from MSC).

Regarding claim 6, Gerth as applied above discloses wherein the conflict resolution engine uses network traffic statistics for a mobile device to resolve

Art Unit: 2617

whether the device is associated with an access point (Gerth: Col. 5, lines 37 – 44 wherein fraud manager uses traffic statistics such as by comparing the difference between the time stamps of the REGNOT).

Page 6

Regarding claim 7, Gerth as applied above discloses wherein the conflict resolution engine is rule-based (Gerth: Col. 5, lines 37 – 44).

Regarding claim 9, Gerth as applied above discloses wherein the device manager sends a request to a device agent to trigger the query process of the device agent (Gerth: Col. 5, lines 4 – 13 wherein PSMS instructs RVCD to send REGNOT records received from MSC every half hour).

Regarding claim 12, Gerth as applied above discloses wherein the association information comprises identification of disassociated mobile units (Gerth: Col. 5, lines 45 – 67 wherein fraud manager identifies the fraudulent mobile user).

Regarding claim 13, Gerth as applied above discloses wherein the association information comprises information describing disassociation of a mobile unit from an access point (Gerth: Col. 4, lines 19 – 46 and Col. 5, lines 45 – 67 wherein REGNOT includes MIN and ESN of the mobile user).

Regarding claims 14, 34 and 35, the claims are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1.

Regarding claim 15, the claim is interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 6.

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 38, the combination of Gerth and Gray does not specifically disclose wherein the one and only one mobile unit is one and only one physical mobile unit. In an analogous art, Jonsson remedies the deficiencies of the combination of Gerth and Grey by disclosing that the mobile unit is one and only one physical mobile unit (Jonsson: Col. 5, lines 13 – 51). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Jonsson to the modified system of Gerth and Gray in order to detect the one and only one radio user equipment communicating with cells 1 and 2 so that the base station controller knowing the overload condition of cell 1 can instruct cell 1 to avoid downlink transmission, thus controlling the overloading condition and increasing the overall communication capacity of a radio communications system.

Page 7

Regarding claims 39, 40 and 41 are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 38.

3. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerth in view of Gray, Jonsson and Barber as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Iyer (US 6,904,278 B2).

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Gerth, Gray, Jonsson and Barber as applied above does not specifically disclose a topology service adapted to provide, through a graphical user interface, a visualization of current associations between the access points and the mobile units. In an analogous art, Iver

Art Unit: 2617

remedies the deficiencies of the combination of Gerth, Gray, Jonsson and Barber by disclosing such limitation in Col. 16, lines 19 – 48 and Fig. 8 providing a GUI for visualizing of current associations between the access points and the mobile units. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of lyer to the modified system of Gerth, Gray, Jonsson and Barber in order to provide an effective way to combine data files related to call data in a report format, such as a graphical representation, that can be readily analyzed to permit resolution of problems in a wireless network because graphical representations are user-friendly and very easy to understand, facilitating intellectual comprehension.

Regarding claim 11, Iyer discloses that visualization is associated with cell sites, Fig. 3 and Fig. 8; Iyer: Col. 16, lines 19 – 42.

4. Claims 19 – 24, 28 – 33, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerth in view of Gray and further in view of Lempio et al. (US 2003/0207683 A1)

Regarding claim 19, the combination of Gerth and Grey as applied above discloses all the limitations of claim 19 (Gerth: Col. 3, line 62 through Col. 4, line 35 and Chuah: Page 3, Paragraph 0036, line 1 through Page 4, Paragraph 0037, line 6; Paragraph 0041, line 1 through Paragraph 0043, line 9 and Fig. 5; Gray: Col. 8, lines 40 – 46; Col. 9, lines 5 – 31; Col. 9, lines 58 – 67 and Col. 10, lines 24 – 57), except providing a dynamic visualization of associations between the

Art Unit: 2617

access points and corresponding associated wireless devices. However, in an analogous art, Lempio remedies the deficiencies of the combination of Gerth and Gray by disclosing a database having mobile units association information to an Access Point, which is populated dynamically and the information can also be stored in the external networks or devices for review (Lempio: Page 3, Paragraph 0035, lines 1 – 11 and Paragraph 0039, lines 1 – 19 and Fig. 4, element 150 and Fig. 5B). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Lempio to the modified system of Gerth and Gray in order to provide an efficient system and method for tracking mobile unit's associations with a respective Access Point.

Page 9

Regarding claim 20, Gerth as applied above discloses tracking a mobile wireless device connected to the wireless network by using the collected association information (Gerth: Col. 5, lines 45 – 67; tracking a mobile user by using REGNOT).

Regarding claim 21, Gerth as applied above discloses generating mobility information by consolidating the collected association information and resolving any conflicts in the collected information; and logging the resolved mobility information (Gerth: Col. 5, lines 45 - 67).

Regarding claim 22, Gerth as applied above discloses detecting one or more unauthorized rogue devices connected to the wireless network (Gerth: Col. 5, lines 45 – 67 wherein fraud manager located within the CDS, Fig. 3, 304

compares different REGNOT and CDS determines whether the mobile user is a fraud).

Regarding claim 23, Gerth in view of Gray as applied above discloses detecting one or more unauthorized access points (Gray: Col. 8, lines 40 - 46; Col. 9, lines 5 - 31; Col. 9, lines 58 - 67 and Col. 10, lines 24 - 57).

Regarding claim 24, Gerth as applied above discloses detecting one or more disassociated mobile units (Gerth: Col. 5, lines 45 – 67 wherein fraud manager identifies the fraudulent mobile user).

Regarding claims 28, 36 and 37, the claims are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 19.

Regarding claim 29, Gerth as applied above discloses wherein the device manager assigns the access points to the plurality of device agents to balance a workload across the device agents (Gerth: Col. 3, line 62 through Col. 4, line 18 wherein a plurality of MSCs Fig. 2, 120A – 120G are connected to its corresponding RVCDs, Fig. 2, 204A, 204B).

Regarding claim 30, Gerth as applied above discloses wherein the device agent regularly polls the corresponding set of access points to determine changes to associations of the access points (Gerth: Col. 5, lines 4 – 13 wherein REGNOT records are received every half hour).

Regarding claim 31, Gerth as applied above discloses wherein the device agent queries the corresponding set of access points to request association

Art Unit: 2617

information from the access points (Gerth: Col. 5, lines 4 – 13 wherein PSMS instructs RVCD to send REGNOT records received from MSC every half hour).

Regarding claim 32, Gerth as applied above discloses wherein the device manager consolidates the collected information and resolves any conflicts in the collected information (Gerth: Col. 5, lines 45 – 67 wherein fraud manager within CDS collects information and resolves any conflicts in the collected information).

Regarding claim 33, Gerth as applied above discloses wherein the association information from the access point is retrieved from an association table maintained by the access point (Gerth: Col. 4, lines 19 – 45 wherein MSC transmits a REGNOT query to the RVCD where a record is created).

5. Claims 42 – 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerth, Gray and Lempio as applied to claim 19 above, and further in view of Broyles et al. (US 7,142,868 B1).

Regarding claim 42, the combination of Gerth, Gray and Lempio does not specifically disclose a projected future view of the associations between the access points and the corresponding associated wireless devices. In an analogous art, Broyles remedies the deficiencies of the combination of Gerth, Gray and Lempio by disclosing such limitation in Col. 5, lines 18 – 36 (displaying a graphical representation of future network configuration). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the technique of Broyles to the modified system of Gerth, Gray

Art Unit: 2617

and Lempio in order to provide a system and method of predicting and displaying wireless network communication system traffic.

Regarding claims 43, 44 and 45, the claims are interpreted and rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 42.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 3, 5 7, 9 11, 12 15, 34, 35 and 38 41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed on 7/14/2008 with respects to claims 19 24, 28 33, 36, 37 and 42 45 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's arguments that the reference by Lempio fails to teach "providing a dynamic visualization of associations between the access points and corresponding associated wireless devices". The examiner respectfully disagrees with the arguments presented by the applicant. Lempio clearly discloses a dynamic database in an access point that keeps track of mobile stations that have entered its coverage area and keeping a record of it (Lempio: Page 3, Paragraph 0035, lines 1 – 11 and Paragraph 0039, lines 1 – 19), moreover, Lempio discloses that the database may be located in a network or device (Fig. 4, element 150), therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to recognize that a network manager of the device (Fig. 4,

Art Unit: 2617

element 150) might be able to visualize the database and keep track of wireless devices being associated and/or disassociated with a particular access point.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Un Cho whose telephone number is (571)272-7919.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/George Eng/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/U. C./ Examiner, Art Unit 2617